

Overview of recent ALICE results

based on the EDS Blois 2019 / 15th Rencontres du Vietnam talk



ALICE


Róbert Vértesi
Wigner RCP Budapest
vertesi.robort@wigner.mta.hu

(ALICE Collaboration)



It all started with a big bang...

HISTORY OF THE UNIVERSE




t = Time (seconds, years)
E = Energy of photons (units GeV = 1.6 x 10⁻¹⁰ joules)

The concept for the above figure originated in a 1986 paper by Michael Turner.


“Little bangs” in the laboratory

Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions



Probing the nuclear matter



- **"Soft" processes**
 - Bulk physics:
many, low-momentum particles
 - From the later stages
 - **Thermal behavior**
 - **Collective dynamics ("flow")**



Probing the nuclear matter

■ "Soft" processes


- Bulk physics: many, low-momentum particles
- From the later stages
- **Thermal behavior**
- **Collective dynamics ("flow")**



■ "Hard" processes

- Few, high-momentum particles
- Early production in analytically calculable pQCD processes
- Heavy flavor probes
- **Tomography of the QGP, modification in the medium**

ALICE (Run-2)



A dedicated heavy-ion experiment at the LHC, excellent PID

ALICE (Run-2)

EMCal: energy, electron ID

TRD: hadron rejection by transition radiation

TOF: identification by precise time of flight

central barrel: $|\eta| < 0.9$

VOA ($-2.8 < \eta < 5.1$) &
VOC ($-3.7 < \eta < -1.7$):
centrality


ITS: charged-particle tracking, secondary vertex

TPC: charged-particle tracking, identification

Muon spectrometer:
forward: $-4 < \eta < -2.5$
muon trigger and tracking

A dedicated heavy-ion experiment at the LHC, excellent PID

Reconstructed heavy-ion collision





- Up to 600 million events per second
- Signals of up to thousands of particles to be identified, processed
- 2-4 GB data every second

ALICE data collected: Run-1 & Run-2

| System | year(s) | $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (TeV) | L_{int} |
|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| pp | 2009-2013 | 0.9 | $\sim 200 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | | 2.76 | $\sim 100 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | | 7 | $\sim 1.5 \text{pb}^{-1}$ |
| | | 8 | $\sim 2.5 \text{pb}^{-1}$ |
| | 2015-2018 | 5.02 | $\sim 1.3 \text{pb}^{-1}$ |
| | | 13 | $\sim 59 \text{pb}^{-1}$ |
| p-Pb | 2013 | 5.02 | $\sim 15 \text{nb}^{-1}$ |
| | 2016 | 5.02 | $\sim 3 \text{nb}^{-1}$ |
| Xe-Xe | 2017 | 5.44 | $\sim 0.3 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | | | |
| Pb-Pb | 2010-2011 | 2.76 | $\sim 75 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | 2015 | 5.02 | $\sim 250 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | 2018 | 5.02 | 0.9nb^{-1} |

- Small to large systems
- Several different collision energies





ALI-PERF-313420

ALICE data collected: Run-1 & Run-2

| System | year(s) | $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (TeV) | L_{int} |
|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| pp | 2009-2013 | 0.9 | $\sim 200 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | | 2.76 | $\sim 100 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | | 7 | $\sim 1.5 \text{pb}^{-1}$ |
| | | 8 | $\sim 2.5 \text{pb}^{-1}$ |
| | 2015-2018 | 5.02 | $\sim 1.3 \text{pb}^{-1}$ |
| | | 13 | $\sim 59 \text{pb}^{-1}$ |
| p-Pb | 2013 | 5.02 | $\sim 15 \text{nb}^{-1}$ |
| | 2016 | 5.02 | $\sim 3 \text{nb}^{-1}$ |
| Xe-Xe | 2017 | 5.44 | $\sim 0.3 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | 2010-2011 | 2.76 | $\sim 75 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| Pb-Pb | 2015 | 5.02 | $\sim 250 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ |
| | 2018 | 5.02 | 0.9nb^{-1} |




- Small to large systems
- Several different collision energies

=> Towards a comprehensive understanding of the strongly interacting nuclear matter






ALI-PERF-313420

Spectra of identified particles (π , K , p)



- High-precision measurements of identified particles

Spectra of identified particles (π , K , p)



- High-precision measurements of identified particles
- Mass-dependent hardening of spectra with increasing multiplicity


$$T_{\text{eff}} \sim T_{\text{kin}} + 1/2 m \langle u_T \rangle^2 \quad (\text{at low } p_T)$$

==> **Collective radial expansion**

Kinetic freezeout via blast-wave fits

- **Blast-Wave model**
 - particle production from expanding hypersurface
 - β_T : radial expansion velocity
 - T_{kin} : kinetic freeze-out temperature


Schnedermann et al., PRC (1993) 48, 2462



ALI-PREL-323590

- Simultaneous fits to π , K, p spectra in bins of multiplicity/centrality
- Similar trend observed in pp, p-Pb, Pb-Pb collisions
- Larger β_T in small systems at similar multiplicity


Particle production across systems



ALI-PREL-321075

- Strangeness enhancement once considered as a sign of QGP
Rafelski, Müller, PRL 48, 1066 (1986)
- Enhancement increases with strangeness content
- No significant energy and system dependence at given multiplicity
- Smooth evolution with system size

Particle production across systems



ALI-PREL-321075

- Strangeness enhancement once considered as a sign of QGP
Rafelski, Müller, PRL 48, 1066 (1986)
- Enhancement increases with strangeness content
- No significant energy and system dependence at given multiplicity
- Smooth evolution with system size


Production of light and strange particles are driven by the characteristics of the final state

Collectivity

- Azimuthal momentum anisotropy
 - parametrized by Fourier coefficients

$$E \frac{d^3 N}{d^3 p} = \frac{1}{\pi} d^2 \frac{N}{dp_T^2 dy} [1 + 2v_1 \cos(\varphi - \Psi_R) + 2v_2 (2[\varphi - \Psi_R]) + \dots]$$

- v_1 : Radial expansion
- v_2 : Azimuthal anisotropy (“elliptic flow”)
$$v_2 = \langle \cos(2[\varphi - \Psi_R]) \rangle$$




Collectivity

- Azimuthal momentum anisotropy

- parametrized by Fourier coefficients


$$E \frac{d^3 N}{d^3 p} = \frac{1}{\pi} d^2 \frac{N}{dp_T^2 dy} [1 + 2v_1 \cos(\varphi - \Psi_R) + 2v_2 (2[\varphi - \Psi_R]) + \dots]$$

- v_1 : Radial expansion
 - v_2 : Azimuthal anisotropy (“elliptic flow”)
 - $v_2 = \langle \cos(2[\varphi - \Psi_R]) \rangle$




- Flow caused many surprises...

1. RHIC: **Substantial v_2 , perfect hydro, NCQ scaling**
=> **strongly coupled QGP**
2. **Higher harmonics are important ($v_2 \sim v_3$)**
=> **initial state fluctuations**
3. LHC: **Small systems “flow”**
=> **hydro description != QGP**



Elliptic flow: light and strange particles


JHEP 1809, 006 (2018)



- v_n are sensitive to the full evolution of the system
 - initial conditions
 - QGP phase
 - hadronic phase

Elliptic flow: light and strange particles

JHEP 1809, 006 (2018)




- v_n are sensitive to the full evolution of the system
 - initial conditions
 - QGP phase
 - hadronic phase

- Low p_T : hadron mass ordering

Elliptic flow: light and strange particles

JHEP 1809, 006 (2018)




- v_n are sensitive to the full evolution of the system
 - initial conditions
 - QGP phase
 - hadronic phase

- Low p_T : hadron mass ordering
- Intermediate p_T (~ 2.5 GeV): ordering by NCQ
 - ϕ meson: clearly determined by mass at low p_T and quark content at intermediate p_T

Elliptic flow: light and strange particles

JHEP 1809, 006 (2018)




- v_n are sensitive to the full evolution of the system
 - initial conditions
 - QGP phase
 - hadronic phase

- Low p_T : hadron mass ordering
- Intermediate p_T (~ 2.5 GeV): ordering by NCQ
 - ϕ meson: clearly determined by mass at low p_T and quark content at intermediate p_T
- High p_T : parton energy loss dominant

Flow harmonics across systems


1903.01790



- Long-range multiparticle correlations in all systems
 - Two-particle, multi-particle and subevent methods are qualitatively the same

Flow harmonics across systems


1903.01790



- Long-range multiparticle correlations in all systems
 - Two-particle, multi-particle and subevent methods are qualitatively the same
- Same ordering of v_2 , v_3 and v_4
 - Quantitative match at low multiplicities

Flow harmonics across systems


1903.01790



- Long-range multiparticle correlations in all systems
 - Two-particle, multi-particle and subevent methods are qualitatively the same
- Same ordering of v_2 , v_3 and v_4
 - Quantitative match at low multiplicities
 - At higher values, v_2 does not scale with N_{ch} : **different initial geometries in small and large systems**

Flow harmonics across systems


1903.01790



- Long-range multiparticle correlations in all systems
 - Two-particle, multi-particle and subevent methods are qualitatively the same
- Same ordering of v_2 , v_3 and v_4
 - Quantitative match at low multiplicities
 - At higher values, v_2 does not scale with N_{ch} : **different initial geometries in small and large systems**
- Model description of pp and p-Pb data is not satisfactory (PYTHIA8, IP-Glasma+MUSIC+UrQMD)



Thermal photons: QGP temperature

- Direct photons are all photons except from hadron decays: Hard scattering, jet radiation, sQGP, hadron gas



Thermal photons: QGP temperature



- Direct photons are all photons except from hadron decays: Hard scattering, jet radiation, sQGP, hadron gas



- Excess in direct photon production over models and pp at low p_T
 - Thermal radiation

Thermal photons: QGP temperature

- Direct photons are all photons except from hadron decays: Hard scattering, jet radiation, sQGP, hadron gas




- Excess in direct photon production over models and pp at low p_T
 - Thermal radiation
- Effective ('average') temperature: $T_{eff} \approx 297 \pm 12(\text{stat}) \pm 41(\text{syst})$ MeV much higher than $T_C \sim 170$ MeV
=> deconfined matter!
- $T_{ini} \sim 300 - 600$ MeV (via models)

Direct photons in p-Pb collisions

New!


PLB 754 (2016) 235



Pb-Pb

- No such excess seen in pPb collisions above model calculations



- Excess in direct photon production over models and pp at low p_T
 - Thermal radiation



Flow of direct photons

New!

Phys. Lett. B 789 (2019) 308





- Direct photon flow is as large as decay photon flow (ie. final state)

Flow of direct photons

New!


Phys. Lett. B 789 (2019) 308



- Direct photon flow is as large as decay photon flow (ie. final state)
- No role of earlier states at all?
- **These results question the current understanding of thermal photons!**


Penetrating probes of the medium

- **pp**: pQCD benchmark and reference for larger systems
- **p-A**: cold nuclear matter effects
- **A-A**: hot nuclear matter effects



Penetrating probes of the medium


- **pp**: pQCD benchmark and reference for larger systems
- **p-A**: cold nuclear matter effects
- **A-A**: hot nuclear matter effects




Nuclear modification

$$R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle} \frac{dN_{AA}/dp_T}{dN_{pp}/dp_T}$$

- Clearly an effect of the QGP in AA collisions




Light and strange hadron energy loss



ALI-PUB-143613

PRC 98 (2018), 044901





ALI-PUB-127786

PRC 95 (2017), 064606



- **Universal, strong suppression at high- p_T**
 - Regardless of hadron types (light or strange)
- Sensitivity to radial flow, hadronization at low- p_T

Jet-medium interactions



- **Low p_T** : Azimuthal h-h correlations, per-trigger normalized
 - **Broadening of central** angular correlation peaks in the $\Delta\eta$ direction
 - Understanding: rescattering with radial flow (AMPT)


Jet-medium interactions




- **Low p_T** : Azimuthal h-h correlations, per-trigger normalized
 - **Broadening** of **central** angular correlation peaks in the $\Delta\eta$ direction
 - Understanding: rescattering with radial flow (AMPT)
- **Higher p_T** : Azimuthal h-h correlations, $I_{AA} = Y_{AA}/Y_{pp}$
 - **Narrowing** of the peak in **central** events in the $\Delta\eta$ direction
 - Jet structure modifications? No proper understanding by models.

Jet Substructure

New!




- First intra-jet splitting z_g




$$z = \frac{\min(p_{T,1}, p_{T,2})}{p_{T,1} + p_{T,2}}$$

Jet Substructure

New!




- First intra-jet splitting z_g
 - At small angles ($\Delta R < 0.1$): consistent z_g distributions in Pb-Pb and vacuum




$$z = \frac{\min(p_{T,1}, p_{T,2})}{p_{T,1} + p_{T,2}}$$

Jet Substructure

New!



- First intra-jet splitting z_g
 - At small angles ($\Delta R < 0.1$): consistent z_g distributions in Pb-Pb and vacuum
 - At large angles ($\Delta R > 0.2$): z_g distributions are steeper in medium than in vacuum




$$z = \frac{\min(p_{T,1}, p_{T,2})}{p_{T,1} + p_{T,2}}$$

- Early jet development influenced by medium

Probes with heavy flavor

- Heavy quarks are...
 - (Mostly) produced in early hard processes
 $\tau_{c,b} \sim \frac{1}{2} m_{c,b} \sim 0.1 \text{ fm} \ll \tau_{\text{QGP}} \sim 5\text{-}10 \text{ fm}$
 - Their numbers are (almost) conserved:
 No flavour changing, negligible thermal production
 \rightarrow Very little production or destruction in the sQGP
 $m \gg \Lambda$ ($m_c \sim 1.5 \text{ GeV}$, $m_b \sim 5 \text{ GeV}$)

X. Zhu et al, PLB 647 366 (2007)



Probes with heavy flavor



Heavy quarks are...

- (Mostly) produced in early hard processes
 $\tau_{c,b} \sim \frac{1}{2} m_{c,b} \sim 0.1 \text{ fm} \ll \tau_{\text{QGP}} \sim 5\text{-}10 \text{ fm}$
- Their numbers are (almost) conserved:
 No flavour changing, negligible thermal production
 \rightarrow Very little production or destruction in the sQGP
 $m \gg \Lambda$ ($m_c \sim 1.5 \text{ GeV}$, $m_b \sim 5 \text{ GeV}$)

Open heavy flavor: Transport through the whole system

- Access to **transport properties** of the system
- Flavor-dependent hadronization**
 fragmentation: color charge effects, dead cone; coalescence
- Penetrating probes down to low momenta**

X. Zhu et al, PLB 647 366 (2007)




Probes with heavy flavor

Heavy quarks are...


- (Mostly) produced in early hard processes
 - $\tau_{c,b} \sim \frac{1}{2} m_{c,b} \sim 0.1 \text{ fm} \ll \tau_{\text{QGP}} \sim 5\text{-}10 \text{ fm}$
- Their numbers are (almost) conserved:
 - No flavour changing, negligible thermal production
 - Very little production or destruction in the sQGP
- $m \gg \Lambda$ ($m_c \sim 1.5 \text{ GeV}$, $m_b \sim 5 \text{ GeV}$)

X. Zhu et al, PLB 647 366 (2007)



Open heavy flavor: Transport through the whole system

- Access to **transport properties** of the system
- Flavor-dependent hadronization**
 - fragmentation: color charge effects, dead cone; coalescence
- Penetrating probes down to low momenta**




Quarkonia: dissociation and regeneration in the QGP


- Debye screening of the color charge
- Sequential melting of different states

⇒ **QGP thermometer**


- However: strong regeneration of charmonia at LHC!



$T=0$



$0 < T < T_c$




$T_c < T$


Heavy flavor jets in p-Pb

New!

jets with HFE




b-jets



- Heavy-flavor jets measured down to $p_T = 10$ GeV/c
- **No mid-rapidity nuclear modification of HFE jets visible**
 - Regardless of chosen jet resolution parameter
- Cross section of **beauty jets** tagged with displaced vertices also described by **POWHEG HVQ x A (pp)** within uncertainty

Pb-Pb - Heavy-flavor energy loss




$$R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \frac{dN_{AA}/dp_T}{dN_{pp}/dp_T}$$

- **Strong suppression at high- p_T**
 - Charm is suppressed similarly to light and strange quarks
 - No mass ordering (dead cone, color charge & fragmentation effects)
- Less suppression for **D** mesons at low- p_T

ALICE-PREL-320198

Pb-Pb - Heavy-flavor energy loss




$$R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \frac{dN_{AA}/dp_T}{dN_{pp}/dp_T}$$


- **Strong suppression at high- p_T**
 - Charm is suppressed similarly to light and strange quarks
 - No mass ordering (dead cone, color charge & fragmentation effects)
- Less suppression for **D** and **D_s** mesons at low- p_T

ALI-PREL-320214

Pb-Pb - Heavy-flavor energy loss





ALI-PREL-320214



ATLAS-PREL-147777

- **Strong suppression at high- p_T**
 - Charm is suppressed similarly to light and strange quarks
 - No mass ordering (dead cone, color charge & fragmentation effects)
- Less suppression for **D** and **D_s** mesons at low- p_T
- HFE: **beauty** appears less suppressed than charm
 - Mass ordering


Open charm and collectivity



TAMU: PLB 735,445-450(2014)
PHSD: PRC 92, 014910 (2015)
Catania: EPJC (2018) 78, 348

- Precise data constrains models at low p_T
 - Simultaneous description of R_{AA} and v_2 for both **D** and **D_s**
 - **Charm - light quark coalescence** on top of shadowing and collisional/radiative energy loss

Open charm flow vs. event shapes




- Classification based on event shapes: 2nd order harmonic reduced flow vector

$$q_2 = |\mathbf{Q}_2| / \sqrt{M},$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^M \cos(2\varphi_i) \\ \sum_{i=1}^M \sin(2\varphi_i) \end{pmatrix}$$



- Unbiased D-meson flow similar in magnitude to LF flow
- Small(large) q_2 corresponds to smaller(larger) D-meson flow
- Reasonable description by transport models

Quarkonia



- Quarkonium suppression due to **dissociation** of bound states in a colored medium (**Debye-screening** of $q\bar{q}$ potential)
- J/ψ : less suppression at **LHC** than at **RHIC**. “The J/ψ puzzle”
 - Understanding: later recombination of the $c\bar{c}$ pairs

Quarkonia





Du *et al.*, PRC 96 (2017) 054901
Zhou *et al.*, NPA 931 (2014) 654

- Quarkonium suppression due to **dissociation** of bound states in a colored medium (**Debye-screening** of $q\bar{q}$ potential)
- J/ψ : less suppression at **LHC** than at **RHIC**. “The J/ψ puzzle”
 - Understanding: later recombination of the $c\bar{c}$ pairs
- Y : strong suppression - regeneration effect is small
 - Models: $T_{ini} \sim 520\text{-}750$ MeV in $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=5.02$ TeV Pb-Pb collisions (consistent with thermal photon measurements)

Anisotropy of charmonium: J/ ψ

PRL 119 (2017) 242301





Substantial J/ ψ v_2 and v_3

- RHIC: at low- p_T , flow is consistent with 0
- LHC: Sizeable, less than LF or D
- Consistent with strong charmonium recombination
- Quantitative description challenging

Anisotropy of bottomonium: $\Upsilon(1S)$



New!

arXiv:1907.03169



- First measurement
- v_2 consistent with 0 : **Only hadron at LHC**
 - Early production, decouples from medium
 - Later recombination is not strong ($\#b \ll \#c$)

Charmed baryons in pp: Λ_c^+/D^0 , Ξ_c^0/D^0



PYTHIA8: JHEP 05 (2006) 026
 DIPSY: JHEP 1503 (2015) 148
 HERWIG7: EPJ C76 (2016) no.4 196


- Ξ_c^0/D^0 as well as Λ_c^+/D^0 is underestimated by models based on ee collisions: Does charm hadronization depend on collision system?
 - PYTHIA8 with string formation beyond leading colour approximation?
 Christiansen, Skands, JHEP 1508 (2015) 003
 - Feed-down from augmented set of charm-baryon states?
 He, Rapp, 1902.08889

ALI-DER-314630

ALI-PUB-141335

Λ_c^0/D^0 in p-Pb and Pb-Pb

New!




ALI-PREL-321706


- A hint of higher Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio in central Pb-Pb collisions than in pp
 - Trend from pp through p-Pb to Pb-Pb is not clear by current precision

Λ_c^0/D^0 in p-Pb and Pb-Pb

New!




ALI-PREL-321706



ALI-PREL-321682


- A hint of higher Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio in central Pb-Pb collisions than in pp
 - Trend from pp through p-Pb to Pb-Pb is not clear by current precision
- Catania model including both coalescence and fragmentation describes the Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio in Pb-Pb collisions

ALICE Upgrade for Run-3 and Run-4



- Up to 50 kHz Pb-Pb interaction rate
- Requested Pb-Pb luminosity: 13 nb⁻¹ (50-100x Run2 Pb-Pb)
- Improved tracking efficiency and resolution at low pT
- Detector upgrades: ITS, TPC, MFT, FIT
- Faster, continuous readout

ALICE Upgrade for Run-3 and Run-4



Run 2: $\mathcal{L}_{Pb-Pb} = 1.0 \text{ nb}^{-1}$


Run 3: $\mathcal{L}_{Pb-Pb} = 6.0 \text{ nb}^{-1}$

Run 4: $\mathcal{L}_{Pb-Pb} = 7.0 \text{ nb}^{-1}$




- Up to 50 kHz Pb-Pb interaction rate
- Requested Pb-Pb luminosity: 13 nb⁻¹ (50-100x Run2 Pb-Pb)
- Improved tracking efficiency and resolution at low p_T
- Detector upgrades: ITS, TPC, MFT, FIT
- Faster, continuous readout


ITS upgrade



projected performance



expected precision



Summary and outlook

- High-luminosity Run-1 + Run-2 data available

Summary and outlook

- High-luminosity Run-1 + Run-2 data available
- System size and energy dependence
 - Onset of QGP effects, origin of collectivity

Summary and outlook

- High-luminosity Run-1 + Run-2 data available
- System size and energy dependence
 - Onset of QGP effects, origin of collectivity
- Detailed understanding of QGP properties
 - Particle production - global properties
 - Collective behavior - bulk properties
 - Penetrating probes - interactions, jet development

Summary and outlook

- High-luminosity Run-1 + Run-2 data available
- System size and energy dependence
 - Onset of QGP effects, origin of collectivity
- Detailed understanding of QGP properties
 - Particle production - global properties
 - Collective behavior - bulk properties
 - Penetrating probes - interactions, jet development
- Flavor-dependent studies
 - Precision charm and a wide set of beauty measurements


Summary and outlook

- High-luminosity Run-1 + Run-2 data available
- System size and energy dependence
 - Onset of QGP effects, origin of collectivity
- Detailed understanding of QGP properties
 - Particle production - global properties
 - Collective behavior - bulk properties
 - Penetrating probes - interactions, jet development
- Flavor-dependent studies
 - Precision charm and a wide set of beauty measurements
- Run-3 after LS2 (2021): improved luminosity, detectors
 - Precision measurements: charmed barions, beauty etc.
 - Jet structures, event shapes: understand soft-hard boundary


Thank you!

...and stay tuned for new great results

Multiplicities in pp, p-Pb, Xe-Xe, Pb-Pb





PLB 790 (2019) 35




- Charged-particle multiplicity density and total multiplicity vs. centrality
 - Deviation from N_{part} scaling: Steeper rise in most central Xe-Xe and Pb-Pb collisions due to upward fluctuations
- Collision geometry plays an important role in particle production!

Production of nuclei




1906.03136

- Production of light nuclei is exponentially suppressed by A
- Production is consistent with thermal model
- d/p ratio depends on multiplicity
 - pp, p-Pb, Pb-Pb
 - 2.76 through 13 TeV




Jet suppression in Pb-Pb

narrow jets, $R=0.2$






wide jets, $R=0.4$



- Measurement down to $p_T = 40$ GeV/c \Rightarrow redistribution of energy
- Only weak dependence seen in data on jet resolution R
- Challenge to some models: stronger R dependence predicted than in data

Inclusive J/ψ in p-Pb collisions



- R_{pPb} of inclusive J/ψ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV are consistent within uncertainties
- Rapidity dependence for $p_T > 0$ are described by models including CNM effects