# Flattenicity as centrality estimator in p-Pb collisions simulated with PYTHIA/Angantyr

**Gyula Bencédi<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Ortiz<sup>2</sup>, Feng Fan<sup>3</sup>** HUN-REN Wigner RCP, <sup>2</sup>Mexico U. ICN, <sup>3</sup>Hua-Zhong Normal U.



### **Motivation**

Small-system collectivity: large amount of soft-QCD results but origin **not yet understood** 

• High-multiplicity collisions measured at forward pseudorapidities  $\leftrightarrow$  jet bias from local multiplicity fluctuations



Flattenicity as centrality estimator

Test the sensitivity of flattenicity to the impact parameter of the collision

### Centrality estimators in p-A

- **Midrapidity** multiplicity (**CL1**) [4]: the largest bias towards hard pp collisions
- Forward multiplicity (V0M): reduced autocorrelation bias w.r.t. CL1

# p-A in PYTHIA/Angantyr

- Angantyr [5]: pp dynamics  $\rightarrow$  collisions of nuclei without ropes and string shoving
- Participant nucleons: (1) Glauber model + Gribov correction, (2) Color-reconnection

• Correlation between multiparton interactions (MPI) and the hardness of the collision: the larger the number of MPIs (colls. with small impact parameters), the larger the likelihood of producing a harder parton-parton scattering

# **Objectives**

- Usage of **novel event activity classifier flattenicity** with sensitivity to MPIs [1,2]
- Proposal ofxx an alternative (less biased) **centrality estimator for p-A** collisions [3]

# **Charged-particle** flattenicity

(d	ΔΙ	:	1	I	Ι	l	1	I	I	I	1	I	I	I

#### • Flattenicity: reduced bias w.r.t. VOM

#### (CR) only applied for individual NN coll.

Used event classifiers	Symbol	<b>Pseudorapidity</b> ( $\eta$ ) coverage	$\varphi$ information
Midrapidity multiplicity	CL1	$-0.3 < \eta < 0.3$	not used
Forward multiplicity	VOM	$  -3.7 < \eta_{\text{lab}} < -1.7$ and $2.8 < \eta_{\text{lab}} < 5.1$	not used
Flattenicity	$ $ 1- $\rho$	$  -3.7 < \eta_{\text{lab}} < -1.7 \text{ and } 2.8 < \eta_{\text{lab}} < 5.1$	used

 $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle$  for event activity estimators

- Average number of **binary nucleon–nucleon collisions**  $N_{\rm coll} = N_{\rm part} - 1$  obtained from PYTHIA/Angantyr
- Seven centrality classes are defined:
  - lowest multimplicity class: VII,  $\langle dN_{\pi}/dy \rangle \approx 3$
  - highest multiplicity class: I,  $\langle dN_{\pi}/dy \rangle \approx 46$
- V0M- and CL1-based estimators:
  - weak correlation with impact parameter
  - ensitivity to  $N_{\text{part}}$  fluctuations
- High-multiplicity classes:  $\langle N_{coll} \rangle(b) \approx \langle N_{coll} \rangle(1-\rho)$





- Event-by-event measurement of multiplicity of primary charged particles  $(N_{ch}^{cell,i})$  in each cell *i* of a forward detector (VOM of ALICE)
- **High flattenicity:**  $1 \rho \rightarrow 0$ : low-multiplicity events with few MPIs ("soft" pp collisions)  $\Rightarrow$ low number of high- $p_{\rm T}$  hadrons
- Low flattenicity:  $1 \rho \rightarrow 1$ : high-multiplicity events (large event activity)  $\Rightarrow$  large number of

### **Results and discussion**

- PYTHIA/Angantyr 8.312:  $N_{coll}$ -scaling of high- $p_T$  yields not reproduced, yet we want to see how centrality estimators bias the  $p_{\rm T}$  spectral shapes
- Quantify spectral shapes:  $Q_{\rm pPb}(p_{\rm T}) = (dN^{\rm pPb}/dp_{\rm T})/(\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle dN^{\rm pp}/dp_{\rm T})$
- Particle species dependent analysis for the most central (class I) p–Pb collisions (see Figure below)



 $MPIs \Rightarrow multijet topologies$ 

•  $P(1 - \rho)$  probability distribution: divided into percentiles  $\rightarrow$  define event activity classes



•  $Q_{\rm pPb}(p_{\rm T})$ : strong mass dependence and bump structure at intermediate  $p_{\rm T}$  when centrality event selection is based on impact parameter or flattenicity

• No bump structure observed for VOM and CL1 estimators: affected by biases towards hard physics

# Take-home message

Flattenicity is a novel event activity estimator that controls the biases in high-multiplicity events

# **References and Acknowledgment**

[1] ALICE Coll., Phys.Rev.D 111 (2025) 1, 012010, [2] Ortiz, A. et al., Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 7, 076012, [3] Ortiz, A. et al., J.Phys.G 51 (2024) 12, 125003, [4] ALICE Coll., Phys.Rev.C 91 (2015) 6, 064905, [5] Bierlich, C. et al., JHEP 10 (2018) 134 Supported by the grants PAPIIT-UNAM IG100524, PAPIME UNAM PE100124, Hungarian NRDIO OTKA PD143226, FK131979, 2021-4.1.2-NEMZ KI-2024-00035.



Scan Me!