Neutron stars in 1+4D with interacting Fermi gas

Anna Horváth Wigner Research Centre for Physics Eötvös Loránd University

In collaboration with:

Gergely Gábor Barnaföldi Wigner Research Centre for Physics Emese Forgács-Dajka Eötvös Loránd University



ELTE AstroPizza 2023

Support: NKFIH OTKA K135515



Neutron stars

• Compact objects:

 $R \sim 10$ km $M \sim 1.1-2M_{\odot}$

- Supported by baryon degeneracy
 Pauli exclusion principle
- Fermi-Dirac distribution

$$ar{n}_i = rac{1}{e^{(arepsilon_i - \mu)/k_{ ext{B}}T} + 1}$$

• Zero temperature approximation





Fermi-Dirac distribution

QCD phase daigram

- Collider experiments probe high temperature, low density regime (RHIC, LHC)
- Neutron stars reside in the high density, low temperature regime

Probe physics in environments not available on Earth



Probe physics of neutron stars

- Compare numerical simulations with experimental data – building up stars
- Main observables:
 - mass difficult to measure
 - Error ~ 2–5% radius – even more difficult
 - Error ~ 10–15%



Mass measurements

- Radio measurement of rotation powered pulsars – most precise
- Also: X-rays, gamma rays, gravitational waves
- **Binary** or multiple component **systems** tracking of **orbital motion**
- Better if both compact point masses
- Isolated cannot be measured!
- Methods:
 - Advance of periastron
 - Einstein delay
 - Orbital period decay
 - Shapiro delay



Radius measurements

- Sources:
 - Nuclear physics
 - Astrophysical observations
 - GR assumptions
 - Causality of EoS
- Measurements based on thermal emission from surface:
 - Apparent angular size
 - Effects of NS spacetime on emission
 - Spectroscopy and timing
- **Gravitational waves** (figure: GW170817)



James M. Lattimer, "Neutron Star Mass and Radius Measurements" (2019) 7

Building up stars

- Two equations needed:
 - Tolmann-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) ~ GR hydrostatic equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\frac{GM(r)\varepsilon(r)}{r^2} \times \left[1 + \frac{p(r)}{\varepsilon(r)}\right] \left[1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 p(r)}{M(r)}\right] \left[1 - \frac{GM(r)}{r}\right]^{-1}$$
sphearical symmetry

 $M(r) = \int \mathrm{d}r' 4\pi r'^2 \varepsilon(r')$

- Equation of state (EoS) $\varepsilon(p)$
- Boundary conditions:
 - Pressure at the surface p(R) = 0(in practice $p(R) = p_{\min} = 10^{-5} \text{ km}^{-2}$)
 - Central energy density $\varepsilon_{\rm C}$

🔶 SLY2 TNTYST 2.0 1.5 [∘ ₩] ₩ 1.0 0.5 0.0 9.0 9.5 10.0 10.5 11.0 11.5 12.0 R [km]

M-R diagrams

static,



G. G. Barnaföldi MTA Pulzár 50 (2017)

Kaluza-Klein theory

- Originally: unified field theory of gravity and EM
- One extra curled up (compactified) dimension
- Geometrization of forces, e.g.:
 - Newtonian gravity gravity is a force
 - General relativity gravity arises from the curved geometry of spacetime
- We describe the **mass spectrum of nucleons** with the help of an extra dimension



Oskar Klein (1894-1977)



Treatment in 1+3+1_c dimensions

- Assume one extra compactified spatial dimension with size $R_{\rm C}$
- At each point in ordinary 3D space particles with enough energy can move into it
 - 3D: particles with different masses
 - 3+1_cD: one particle but with different quantized momenta in the extra dimension

$$E_5 = \sqrt{\underline{k}^2 + \left(\frac{n}{\underline{R}_c}\right)^2 + m^2} = \sqrt{\underline{k}^2 + \overline{m}^2}$$

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}^2 = \left(\frac{n}{\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{C}}}\right)^2 + m^2$$



• With the **right** choice of *R*_C the **mass spectrum** of particles could be **reproduced**

Treatment in 1+3+1_c dimensions

- Assume one extra compactified spatial dimension with size $R_{\rm C}$
- At each point in ordinary 3D space particles with enough energy can move into it
 - 3D: particles with **different masses**
 - 3+1_cD: one particle but with different quantized momenta in the extra dimension

$$E_{5} = \sqrt{\underline{k}^{2} + \left(\frac{n}{R_{c}}\right)^{2} + m^{2}} = \sqrt{\underline{k}^{2} + \overline{m}^{2}}$$
$$\overline{m}^{2} = \left(\frac{n}{R_{c}}\right)^{2} + m^{2}$$
$$Kaluza-Klein$$

• With the **right** choice of *R*_C the **mass spectrum** of particles could be **reproduced**

We also need interaction...

- Pauli exclusion principle is not the only thing that keeps particles apart
- We need to model the **strong force**:

repulsive at this scale

• Without interaction the maximum mass of stars would become too small $\sim 0.7 M_{\odot}$



EoS in 1+4D

- Interacting degenerate Fermi gas
- **Potential** is a **linear** function of density: $U(n) = \xi n$ $\xi = \text{const}$
- Thermodynamic potential on T=0 MeV

$$\widetilde{\Omega} = \frac{-2k_B T V_{(d)}}{h^d} \int \ln\left(1 + e^{\frac{\mu - E(\mathbf{p})}{k_B T}}\right) \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{p}$$



• Extra dimension

Interaction

calculate with excited mass
 chemical potential shifted by -U(n)

$$\epsilon(\mu) = \epsilon_0(\mu - U(n)) + \epsilon_{int}$$
$$p(\mu) = p_0(\mu - U(n)) + p_{int}$$
$$n(\mu) = n_0(\mu - U(n))$$

$$\epsilon_{int} = p_{int} = \int U(n) dn = \int \xi n dn = \frac{1}{2} \xi n^2$$

Relativity in 1+4D

- Assume (for TOV):
 - Spherical symmetry
 - Time-independence
 - Isotropic relativistic ideal fluid
- Assume (for extra dimension):
 - Microscopic
 - 4D metric does not depend on g_{55}
 - Causality postulates hold
 - Full Killing symmetry



Equation of state

- ξ dependence is much more dominant than r_c
- The bigger ξ , the less important r_c becomes



Equation of state

- ξ dependence is much more dominant than $r_{\rm c}$
- The **bigger** ξ , the **less important** r_c becomes
- For lower energies small ξ approximates more realistic EoSs
- For high energies a large ξ is a better approximation



18

https://compose.obspm.fr/ 1. H. Togashi, K. Nakazato, Y. Takehara, S. Yamamuro, H. Suzuki and M. Takano, Nucl. Phys. A 961 (2017) 78 1. F. Gulminelli and Ad. R. Raduta, arXiv:1504.04493. 2. E. Chabanat, Ph.D. thesis, University Claude Bernard Lyon-1, Lyon, France, 1995. 3. P. Danielewicz et J. Lee, Nucl. Phys. A818, 36 (2009).

Equation of state

- ξ dependence is much more dominant than $r_{\rm c}$
- The bigger ξ , the less important r_c becomes
- For lower energies small ξ approximates more realistic EoSs
- For high energies a large ξ is a better approximation



19

https://compose.obspm.fr/ 1. H. Togashi, K. Nakazato, Y. Takehara, S. Yamamuro, H. Suzuki and M. Takano, Nucl. Phys. A 961 (2017) 78 1. F. Gulminelli and Ad. R. Raduta, arXiv:1504.04493. 2. E. Chabanat, Ph.D. thesis, University Claude Bernard Lyon-1, Lyon, France, 1995. 3. P. Danielewicz et J. Lee, Nucl. Phys. A818, 36 (2009).

M-R diagrams of the EoS

- *ξ* dependence is much more dominant than *r*_c (latter only ~5%)
- The bigger ξ, the less important r_c becomes



M-R diagrams of the EoS

- *ξ* dependence is much more dominant than *r*_c (latter only ~5%)
- The bigger ξ, the less important r_c becomes



M-R diagrams

+ measurement data

+ 2 more realistic EoSs



M. C. Miller et al 2021 ApJL 918 L28 H.T. Cromartie et al., Nat. Astron. 4, 72 (2019) J. Antoniadis et al., Science 340, 1233232 (2013)

M-R diagrams

+ measurement data

+ 2 more realistic EoSs

+ approximation for ξ



M. C. Miller et al 2021 ApJL 918 L28 H.T. Cromartie et al., Nat. Astron. 4, 72 (2019) J. Antoniadis et al., Science 340, 1233232 (2013)



- Model with the possibility of probing beyond standard model physics
- One extra spatial compactified dimension



Ordinary mass can be described as quantized 5thD momenta

- Effective nuclear field theory with **linear** repulsive **potential**
- It is possible to build compact stars with realistic properties
- Constraints on the size of possible extra dimensions could be given